Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

Roger Clarke

Xamax Consultancy Pty Ltd, Canberra Visiting Professor in Computer Science, ANU, Canberra Visiting Professor in Cyberspace Law & Policy, UNSW, Sydney

Adam Molnar

Lecturer, Criminology, Deakin University, Melbourne Principal, Block G Security and Privacy Consulting

IEEE SSIT RPAV Workshop 29 September 2014

2013-14







What Does 'Hobbyist' Mean?

'Private / Personal / Sport / Recreational' Not 'Air Work / Commercial tasks (hire and reward)' incl. demos, training, R&D, company-internal purposes

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

• Introductory Presentation

 Scope of the Session 2 Use Contexts Public Safety 4 + 3Surveillance and Privacy 6

 Regulatory Framework 2

Regulatory Options

 Public Safety 12

Surveillance and Privacy 8

2013-14



What Does 'Hobbyist' Mean?

- 'Private / Personal / Sport / Recreational' Not 'Air Work / Commercial tasks (hire and reward)' incl. demos, training, R&D, company-internal purposes
- But where are the boundaries?
 - Rental for private or personal use?
 - Vicarious Joy-Rides (for the view)?
 - Competitions / Professional Sport?
 - Journalism / Voyeurnalism, if unpaid?
 - Uninc'd / Inc'd Enterprises / Associations? e.g. Human and Animal Search, Mustering, Hunting, Anti-Hunting, Trespass Surveillance



Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

- Introductory Presentation
 - Scope of the Session
 - **Use Contexts**
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy
 - Regulatory Framework
- Regulatory Options
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy





5

7

Types of Hobbyist 'Missions'

- Observation
 - Location
 - Pursuit
- Recording
- Search (person, article, animal, wreckage)
- Comms Relay (bushwalking, on-property)
- Survey (vegetation)
- Goods Delivery (water)



Observation and Recording Motivations

- Scenic Appreciation
- Sousveillance ('police watch')
- Voyeurism / Peeping Toms
- Voyeurnalism / Paparazzi Aloft
- **Journalism**
- Community Policing / Vigilantism
- Moral Minority Policing

Modes of Use

- VLOS (Visual Line of Sight)
- FPV (First Person View)
 - · As an Aid
 - Exclusive (Goggles)
- Instrument-Based Ops (IBO)
- Single-Device
- Team / Squadron
- Swarm / Flock



Use-Related Challenges

- Physical Congestion
 - Indoors, Forests, Buildings, Pole-Strung Cables, Airport, Emergency Scene (ghoul factor), Celebs/Notorieties (fan/parapazzi factor)
- Electronic Congestion
- Contention
 - Scheduled Aircraft, Emergency Ops (Search, Fire, Accident, Hostage, Stake-Out)
- Criminal Uses
 - Delivery, Diversion, as a Weapon, Jamming
- Sociopathic Uses
 - Interference, Weapon-Carriage, Kamikaze





11

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

- Introductory Presentation
 - Scope of the Session
 - Use Contexts
 - **Public Safety**
 - Surveillance and Privacy
 - Regulatory Framework
- Regulatory Options
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy

Use-Related Challenges The Pilots and Facilities Operators

Education ==>> Understanding

Training ==>> Expertise

Concentration ==>> Performance

Task Design ==>> Avoidance of

Cognitive Overload

 Risks of Error

> Mis-Judgement Dehumanisation

2013-14



10

The Prescott Case – Sydney, 2 Oct 2013





Sydney, 2 Oct 2013

- Rihanna video-tech, Edward Prescott, flies his drone from a wharf West of Circular Quay
- The drone control malfunctions
- It auto-redirects back to its point-of-origin
- · It hits two Sydney Harbour Bridge girders, lurches across 6 lanes, a few metres above traffic, hits another girder, crashes on a railway line
- The next train-driver stops and picks it up
- A Naval event is in progress
- Prince Harry is in town
- International coverage follows (London, Milan)

2013-14



http://www.smh.com.au/nsw/mystery-dronecollides-with-sydney-harbour-bridge-20131004-2uzks.html http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=661_1385456831

13

15

Some Failures

- First UK law enforcement use Lost in the Mersey River off Liverpool (BBC 2011)
- First Australian media use Lost off Christmas Island (Corcoran 2012)
- First US police-owned drone, in Texas Crashed into a police vehicle (Biddle 2012)
- In South Korea, a drone crashed into its control truck, killing 1, and injuring 2 'remote' pilots (Marks 2012)
- Micro-drone crashes in CBDs Auckland (Mortimer 2012), Sydney (Kontominas 2013)

The Prescott Case – Sydney, 2 Oct 2013

- The Police gave him back his damaged drone
- He posted the video it had captured on the Web

CASA provided some quotes to the media:

- "There are regulations and fines attached ... hundreds of dollars"
- "[Drone pilots] must keep them at least 30m away from any people, buildings or structures"
- "Airspace around the Harbour Bridge is restricted"
- "Check with local council where they can be used"

Long after Prescott left, CASA levied an \$800 fine

2013-14



http://www.smh.com.au/nsw/mystery-dronecollides-with-sydney-harbour-bridge-20131004-2uzks.html

http://www.theage.com.au/technology/technology-news/ river-of-blood-after-drone-hits-australian-athlete-20140407-zgruh.html

14

'Failure Modes'

Artefact Failure

- Mechanical
- Electrical
- Power
- **Programming**
- 'Fail-Secure' Misdesign

Pilot Failure

- Education / U'stding
- Training / Skill
- Concentration / Timing
- Contextual Appreciation

Environmental Factors

- Physical Congestion
- Turbulence
- Lightning
- Communications
 - Interruption
 - **Data Corruption**

'Fail-Secure'?

- Remain in Place
- Land Immediately
- Auto-Return to Origin





Harm to Public Safety

Impact Factors

- Aircraft Velocity
- **Propellor Velocity**
- Mass
- The Object that's hit

Consequential Harm

- Explosion / Fire
- Surprise / Diversion

Physical Interference

- Air Ops
- Ground Ops

Comms Interference

- Congestion
- **Jamming**

2013-14







17

19

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

- Introductory Presentation
 - Scope of the Session
 - **Use Contexts**
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy
 - Regulatory Framework
- Regulatory Options
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy

Deliberate Harm Motivations

- Thrill-Seeking
- Revenge
- Aid to Crime
- Terrorism









2013-14



http://www.dailylife.com.au/technology/technology-news/ privacy-fears-as-drones-move-into-mainstream-20130217-2elcj.html

23



"Kate Middleton and many other people besides can rest assured that their bare breasts are fair game, anywhere, any time," the Australian Privacy Foundation's Roger Clarke warned, in a week when snaps of the pregnant Duchess in a bikini made international headlines.

Last year a New South Wales resident filed a complaint after spotting a drone hovering outside their bedroom window, Australian Privacy Commissioner Timothy Pilgrim said.



The Privacy of Personal Behaviour

- The interest that individuals have to behave as they wish, without undue observation and interference by others
- Behaviour encompasses activities, movements, associations and preferences
- Sexual, Religious, Political ...
- Private places, Public places
- Surveillance Visual, Electronic, Transactional, ...





THE civilian drone revolution in Australia has triggered calls from the Privacy Commissioner for a public debate about the "intrusive" technology as police investigate the potential of drones for crime fighting.

"... there needs to be public debate about the use of this technology and whether current regulations are sufficient to deal with any misuse"



2013-14



http://investigatemidwest.org/2014/03/21/ states-restrict-drone-use-because-of-privacy-concerns/ https://www.aclu.org/blog/technology-and-liberty/ status-2014-domestic-drone-legislation-states

25

27

Regulatory Framework

- Natural Controls Physical Danger, Economics, Reputation
- Individual Self-Regulation Self-Restraint, Social Responsibility
- Industry / Social Self-Regulation **Declared Voluntary Codes**
- Co-Regulation **Negotiated Statutory Codes**
- Formal Regulation / Law Imposed Statutory Codes, Enforcement

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

- Introductory Presentation
 - Scope of the Session
 - **Use Contexts**
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy
 - Regulatory Framework
- **Regulatory Options**
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy

2013-14



How to Recognise An Effective Regulatory Scheme

Process

- Clarity of Aims, Requirements
- Transparency
- Participation
- Reflection of Stakeholder Interests

Product

- Comprehensiveness
- Parsimony
- Articulation
- **Educative Value**
- Appropriate Generality and Specificity

Outcomes

- Oversight
- Enforceability
- Enforcement
- Review







2013-14



29

2013-14

Copyright XAMAX

30

Public Safety The Realities

- Little Understanding
- Little Training
- Little Responsibility Taken
- Not Easily Identified and Located
- Not Easily Proven Responsible
- No Insurance
- No Assets

A Victim-Pays System

Public Safety Social Controls

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

• Introductory Presentation

Use Contexts Public Safety

Regulatory Options Public Safety

• Scope of the Session

Surveillance and Privacy

Regulatory Framework

• Surveillance and Privacy

- Model Aircraft Clubs
 - **Isolated Location**
 - Constraints
 - Acculturation
 - Insurance
- No Powers, No Enforcement
- No Incentives to Drone Users to Join



The Current Regulatory Framework

International

• Small Drones are declared out-of-scope

National

- Air Navigation Act Air Navigation Regulations
- Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA)
- Civil Aviation Safety Regulations (CASR)
- CASR 101-1 (UAVs) since 1998/2002
- CASR-101-3 (Model Aircraft) since 1998/2002

2013-14



Information

Notice

33



The CASA Regulatory Regimes

DETERMINATIVE FACTORS						
Controlled Airspace	√	-	X	X	X	X
> 150kg Fixed-Wing / 100kg Rotorcraft	_	√	X	X	X	X
< 150kg Fixed-Wing / 100kg Rotorcraft	_	X	√	√	√	V
Commercial Use	_	-	V	X	X	X
2-7 kg	_	X	X	X	√	X
< 2kg	_	X	X	X	X	V
APPLICABLE REGULATORY REGIME						
Full Regulatory Framework (CASR)	√	V	-	-	-	-
Limited Regulatory Framework (CASR 101-1)	_	-	V	_	-	-
Model Aircraft Framework (CASR-101-3)	_	-	-	√	V	√
(Emergent light regulatory scheme)	_	-	-	-	(√)	-
(Emergent even-lighter regulatory scheme)	_	-	-	_	_	(√)
Damage by Aircraft Act	√	√	V	-	-	-



Civil Aviation Authority INFORMATION NOTICE Number: IN-2014/115

Issued: 17 July 2014

Small Unmanned Aircraft: Special Operations - Future Requirement for a Safety Case Assessment

"[Re] Small Unmanned Surveillance Aircraft (SUSA) within the weight category 7 - 20 kg ... there will be a future need for their aircraft to be assessed for airworthiness in relation to operating within 150 m of a congested area [competencies, tech specs, outcome mitigation; assessment fee Stg 134 p.hr.]

Generic Risk Management Strategies

- **Proactive Strategies**
 - Avoidance
 - Deterrence
 - Prevention
- **Reactive Strategies**
 - Isolation
 - Recovery
 - Transference

- Non-Reactive Strategies
 - Tolerance
 - Abandonment
 - **Dignified Demise**
 - Graceless Degradation









Generic Risk Management Strategies

- Proactive Strategies
 - Avoidance
 - Deterrence Reminders Fines **Criminal Charges**
 - Prevention Redundancy in Power, Comms

- **Reactive Strategies**
 - Isolation
 - Recovery
 - Transference **Express Liabilities** Compulsory Third-**Party Insurance**

Categories of Intervention

- Operator Professionalism
- **Technical Features**
- Extra Supplier Responsibilities
- Regulatory Mechanisms

2013-14



37

39



Operator Professionalism Can Military Norms be Scaled?

- Highly-Articulated Structures
- Highly-Articulated Processes
- Expensive / Pay-to-Play
- Rules of Engagement
- Monitoring
- **Moral Suasion**
- Threat of Licence Withdrawal
- Reviews



Technical Features

- **Dual Control Channels**
- Redundant Comms Channels
- Collision Detection
- Collision Avoidance
- Autoland
- 'Fail-Secure' [means what??]
- Design Audit and Certification
- Device Inspection after Manufacture
- Periodic Device Re-Inspection







Extra Supplier Responsibilities Manufacturer? Distributor? Retailer?

- Information to Purchasers:
 - Risks
 - Procedures
 - Relevant Laws, Licensing
 - Public Liability Insurance
 - **Club Directory**
- Warranties
- Support and Maintenance Services

2013-14



43

41



42 2013-14

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

- Introductory Presentation
 - Scope of the Session
 - **Use Contexts**
 - **Public Safety**
 - Surveillance and Privacy
 - Regulatory Framework
- **Regulatory Options**
 - Public Safety
 - Surveillance and Privacy

Contemporary Regulation of Surveillance

Regulatory Mechanisms

Minimum Training (Licensing of Pilot, Operator)

Minimum Feature Set (Licensing of Artefact)

Contexts of Use Permitted / Banned

Insurability dependent on Compliance

Documented Procedures

Missions

Legal Liability

Payloads

Locations

Circumstances

Tort

- Interference with Real Estate (Trespass, Nuisance)
- Interference with the Person (Trespass, Obstruction, False Imprisonment, Assault, AVOs / PSIOs)
- Interference with Emotional State (Stalking, Negligence)
- Deceitful Behaviour (Misrepresentation, Deceit, Passing-Off)

Surveillance Statutes

- Telecomms (postal, TIAA, computer offences)
- Aural/Visual Surv Devices (Clth, State, Territory)
- Pornography, Anti-Voyeurism

Other Statutes (Copyright, Trademarks, Media Law, Human Rights, Privacy)

Media Codes (APC, ACMA)

Direct Action (protecting the public, and the media)





State Surveillance and Listening Devices Acts

Vic, WA, NT (1998-2000) & NSW (2007), Old Surveillance Devices Acts

Prohibition of surveillance only of a 'private activity', except:

- by someone who is a party to the activity
- if the activity is happening outside the building; or
- if the circumstances indicate that the parties do not care if they are seen

SA, Tas, ACT 1971, 1972, 1990

Prohibition of aural surveillance of a private activity, except ...

Workplace (NSW, ACT)

- Must be merely declared
- Covert only with a magistrate's approval

Anti-Voyeurism laws may put toilets, bathrooms, change-rooms off-limits





http://www.privacy.org.au/Resources/PLawsST.html

45

47

The Policy Response

- Technology Assessment?
 - Only Europe has TA Agencies
 - Only Norway has published anything
- Risk Assessment?
 - Little sign of corporate RA
 - No sign of society-level RA
- Law Reform Commissions?
 - Mention in an ALRC Privacy Study

What Policy Response??

The Regulation of Surveillance **General Principles**

- 1. Justification
- 2. Proportionality
- 3. Openness
- 4. Access Security
- Controlled Use
- Controlled Disclosure
- 7. Controlled Publication
- 8. Non-Retention and Rapid Destruction
- 9. Review
- 10. Withdrawal

2013-14



http://www.privacy.org.au/Papers/PS-CCTV.html

46

APF's Policy Statement on What's Needed

- 1. Comprehensive laws regulating surveillance activities, by all organisations and individuals
- 2. Provisions that relate to private places, but also provisions that relate to private space in public places
- 3. Provisions relating specifically to visual surveillance
- 4. Provisions relating to aerial surveillance, reflecting the additional vulnerabilities that arise from it
- 5. To the extent necessary, provisions relating to surveillance by means of drones
- 6. Provision of responsibilities, authority and resources to an appropriate agency ...

Privacy as a Strategic Factor

- Privacy is a potentially severe impediment
- 'Privacy doesn't matter until it does' means that media breakouts will be uncontrollable
- Privacy-Sensitivity in Design and Operation has the potential to be a Differentiator
- Privacy-Sensitivity doesn't just happen
- A Privacy Strategy involves a proactive stance, an express strategy, an articulated plan, resourcing, monitoring against the plan

2013-14



http://www.rogerclarke.com/DV/PStrat.html http://www.rogerclarke.com/DV/APBD-0609.html

51

Privacy Impact Assessment Key Considerations

- 1. Purpose
- 2. Responsibility
- 3. Timing
- 4. Scope (Dimensions, Stakeholders, Reference-Pts)
- 5. Stakeholder Engagement
- Orientation (Process not Product; Solutions not Problems)
- 7. The PIA Process
- 8. Outcomes

Forms of Privacy Project

- Privacy Strategy Formulation
- **Privacy Issues Analysis**
- Deeper Privacy Impact Evaluation Methods:
 - Privacy Law Compliance Assessment
 - Data Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)
 - Internal Cost/Benefit Analysis
 - Internal Risk Assessment
 - Privacy Impact Statement
 - Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)
- Privacy Management Planning and Control
- Privacy Policy Statement (PPS) Prep, Review
- Privacy Audit

Copyright 2013-14



http://www.privacy.org.au/Papers/PS-PIA.html

50

Hobbyist Drones Payload and Mission Capabilities

Roger Clarke

Xamax Consultancy Pty Ltd, Canberra Visiting Professor in Computer Science, ANU, Canberra Visiting Professor in Cyberspace Law & Policy, UNSW, Sydney

Adam Molnar

Lecturer, Criminology, Deakin University, Melbourne Principal, Block G Security and Privacy Consulting

> **IEEE SSIT RPAV Workshop** 29 September 2014







