BE CANDID, DILBERT. WE HAVE A CORPORATE PHILOSOPHY THAT SAYS WE "DON'T SHOOT THE MESSENGER."

GOOD.

HAD YOU CONSULTED WITH THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, YOU NEVER WOULD HAVE LAUNCHED SUCH AN ILL-CONCEIVED PRODUCT.

IT IS DOOMED TO FAIL. YOU WILL ALL BE HUMILIATED AND PROBABLY FIRED.

CAN'T I JUST WING HIM?!!

NO, EILEEN, THAT'S NOT OUR PHILOSOPHY.

IT TURNS OUT THE CORPORATE PHILOSOPHY IS A VERY FLEXIBLE DOCUMENT.

YOU'RE GETTING TAR ON THE CARPET.

Thanks to Scott Adams and Dilbert (11 Feb 1990)
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A perfectly good paper
(just ask the author)
was rejected by the reviewers

The Apparent Reasons

• Lack of robustness of the research method
• Dismay about the fact that the paper subjected Special Issue papers to criticism
The Research

- Applied 'Researcher Perspective' Theory (Clarke 2016, in the Bled Proceeedings)
- Assessed the papers in a Special Issue: 'Personal Data Markets', EM 25,2 (Jun 2015)
- Concluded that the papers:
  - considered only one side of the market
  - were strongly committed to the corporate (data-buyer's) perspective
Personal Data Markets Participants / Stakeholders

PLoS: Data Subjects, whose data is traded
The Meta-Questions

• What guidance is available in relation to secondary research whose raw data is published academic papers?

• What particular approaches need to be adopted when the theory-lens through which the observation is being performed arises from critical theory research?

• What guidance exists for expressing the outcomes of research of this nature?
The Research Objective

Enhance the publishability of the research, by taking the following steps:

• Ground the content analysis technique more firmly in the research methods literature
• Demonstrate the appropriateness of constructive criticism of published works
• Improve the expression of the results
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#### Qualitative Research Techniques

**Sources**
- Natural Settings (Field Research)
- Contrived Settings (Laboratory Experiments)
- Mixed Settings (e.g. interviews conducted in the subject's workplace)

**Forms**
- Text
- Speech
- Natural Non-Verbal (Behaviour)
- Non-Verbal, Non-Textual (Questionnaire Responses)
Informal Literature Reviews

"Traditional literature reviews ... commonly focus on the range and diversity of primary research using a selective, opportunistic and discursive approach to identifying and interpreting relevant literature"

(Oakley 2003, p.23)
Somewhat Relevant Techniques
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Systematic Literature Reviews

Okoli & Schabram 2010
A More Relevant Technique
Content Analysis

"The interpretation of the content of text data through the systematic classification process of coding and identifying themes or patterns"

- Conventional Content Analysis / Emergent Coding
- Directed Content Analysis / A Priori Coding
- Summative Content Analysis
- (Computational Content Analysis – Debortoli et al. 2016)

Hsieh & Shannon (2005)
Criticism is vital to Scientific Progress

- A theory is scientific if it deals in statements that are empirically falsifiable
- Progress depends on attempts to demonstrate falsity of theoretical statements (Popper 1963)
- But 'normal science' is conducted within a 'paradigm' and subject to conventions
- 'Paradigm shifts' are resisted, and only occur after substantial 'anomaly accumulation' (Kuhn 1962)
And the IS Discipline is Wary of Criticism

• "In contrast to specific and critical reviews of individual papers, tell the reader what patterns you are seeing in the literature". 'Do not fall into the trap of being overly critical" (Webster & Watson 2002)

• "Papers should be in apposition rather than in opposition" [i.e. position things side by side or close together] (Straub 2009)
Schools of Thought or Approaches to Research

• Positivism
  • Interpretivism
  • Design Science

• An odd bedfellow: Critical Research / Critical Theory Research
Key Elements of Critical Research

• Critique of Power Relationships
• Transformation / Instrumentalism with the needs of society in focus
• Insight / Depth of Study
• Reflexivity / Self-Questioning

Myers 1997, Cecez-Kezmanovich 2001, Myers & Klein 2011
Hermeneutic Approach for Conducting Literature Reviews

Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic (2014)
Critical Discourse Analysis

- IS is subject to 'Ideological Hegemony'
- Ideological Hegemony refers to dominant thought patterns and worldviews, engrained in a discipline and beyond scrutiny, concerning:
  - framing of research topics and questions
  - choices of theories and research methods
- So assess power relationships between actors, and evaluate comprehensibility, truthfulness, legitimacy and sincerity

Habermas 1984, Cukier et al. 2009, Wall et al. 2015
Implications for the Research Project

- Make clear that 'researcher perspective' theory is a product of critical theory research
- Be specific about the method's use of repetitive loops and introspective questioning
- Distinguish preliminary 'orientational reading' from subsequent, deep 'analytical reading'
- Depict as directed content analysis using \textit{a priori} coding, and provide (relevant) quantification
- Be specific about how coding reliability is achieved
- Stress the issue of 'legitimacy', and show that the papers do not represent all stakeholder perspectives
- Assume that 'hegemonic participation' is unconscious
Critical Content Analysis
Themes

• A Personal Problem
• The Research Objective
• Content Analysis
• The Role of Criticism in Research
• Critical Theory
• A Solution
Thanks to Scott Adams and Dilbert (15 Mar 1999)
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