12 March 2021

Ms A. Falk
Privacy Commissioner
Office of the Australian Information Commissioner

Dear Angelene

Re: OAIC Guide to Securing Personal Information

As you know, the Australian Privacy Foundation (APF) is the nation's leading privacy advocacy organisation. A brief backgrounder is attached.

We note your request for submissions dated 22 February 2021.

We further note the exceedingly short notice of less than 3 weeks. This is not an adequate period to enable civil society organisations to evaluate, discuss and draft a response, still less to discuss with other civil society organisations in order to confirm a common view.

We also note the complete absence of any information about the individual within the OAIC with carriage of the matter. This is not conducive to the establishment and maintenance of relationships between the oversight agency and civil society.

We attach the APF’s Submission.

We would appreciate the opportunity to discuss the matter.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely

Roger Clarke
Secretary, for the Board of the Australian Privacy Foundation
(02) 6288 6916  Roger.Clarke@privacy.org.au

The security landscape has changed enormously during the period since the Guide was originally written, and since the modifications of 2013.

The APF submits that the Guide falls a long way short of the needs, and that it needs to be enhanced in the following ways.

**Direct Guidance Is Needed**

On page, 1, the document declares as a Key Message that "This guide provides guidance on information security, specifically the reasonable steps entities are required to take ...".

But in fact it does not require that any steps be taken. The key passages on p. 14 merely say "Appropriate security safeguards and measures for personal information need to be considered ... This could include [list of safeguards] ... This section outlines examples ... ".

It is untenable for any organisation to not provide minimum levels of safeguards for data of value, including personal data. It is essential that the OAIC communicate that to organisations.

Further, the OAIC needs to either itself declare a baseline set of requirements, or point to a specific baseline set of security requirements. Large and medium-sized organisations are in a position to hire professional assistance in relation to data security, whereas small organisations are not.

APF submits that:

1. **The Guide needs to be revised to provide direct guidance relating to the minimum safeguards** that are required, together with references to documents that contain more detailed advice on specific security safeguards.

2. **The Guide should be expressly addressed to the circumstances confronting small organisations**, and should indicate that larger organisations may need to go considerably further because of the risk exposures that they face.

3. **The Guide needs to be revised to make very clear that privacy-sensitive personal information must be subject to additional safeguards**, well beyond the minimum safeguards, that address risks that arise in the particular context.

**Direct Statements Are Needed About Enforcement**

The document contains very little about enforcement actions and penalties.

The public understands the benefits of adopting a primarily positive approach, encouraging good organisational practices, and guiding organisations towards them.

However, the public also knows that many organisations fail to respond to positive approaches.

The public accordingly expects the OAIC to exercise all enforcement powers at its disposal, and to put organisations on notice that it does so. The document fails to communicate a forceful message to organisations about enforcement of the requirements. Worse, nothing in the document provides the reader with any confidence that the OAIC will take the action necessary to achieve change.
The APF submits that:

(3) **The document needs to be revised to project the following additional Key Messages**, and provide supporting information:

- security safeguards are a mandatory requirement of the law, not optional;
- organisations that fail to implement the basic set of well-known safeguards for personal data are *prima facie* in breach of the Privacy Act, and are subject to enforcement actions; and
- organisations that handle privacy-sensitive personal information but fail to implement additional safeguards appropriate to the risks involved, are in breach of the Privacy Act, and are subject to enforcement actions.

**Complementary Activities Are Needed**

The Guide has existed since 2001. Yet there are continual breaches of the law. It is clear that, by itself, even an updated Guide is not sufficient to achieve the necessary substantial improvements in organisational practices.

The APF submits that:

(4) **The OAIC needs to reinforce the messages in the Guide with complementary activities**, including:

- reminders through industry associations and the media that inadequate personal data security has adverse consequences, including:
  - breaches of the Privacy Act, harm to individuals, and consequential enforcement actions by the OAIC and the courts, including financial penalties
  - breaches of other laws, and consequential enforcement actions by other regulatory agencies, including financial penalties
  - reputational harm among the general public and through the media
- reminders through industry associations and the media that all organisations must implement well-known information security safeguards
- statements through industry associations, professional associations and the media that audits need to include, as a core focus, compliance with privacy laws and norms
- much more active use of all processes and sanctions available to the Commissioner, including ‘naming and shaming’ of organisations that fall short of the requirements
- public warnings of the likelihood of greatly increased public concern arising from such factors as continual data breaches, abuse of personal data by social media services, the risks involved in cloud computing, and the looming threat of ‘big data’
The Australian Privacy Foundation

Background Information

The Australian Privacy Foundation (APF) is the primary national association dedicated to protecting the privacy rights of Australians. The Foundation aims to focus public attention on emerging issues that pose a threat to the freedom and privacy of Australians. The Foundation has led the fight to defend the right of individuals to control their personal information and to be free of excessive intrusions.

The APF’s primary activity is analysis of the privacy impact of systems and proposals for new systems. It makes frequent submissions to parliamentary committees and government agencies. It publishes information on privacy laws and privacy issues. It provides continual background briefings to the media on privacy-related matters.

Where possible, the APF cooperates with and supports privacy oversight agencies, but it is entirely independent of the agencies that administer privacy legislation, and regrettably often finds it necessary to be critical of their performance.

When necessary, the APF conducts campaigns for or against specific proposals. It works with civil liberties councils, consumer organisations, professional associations and other community groups as appropriate to the circumstances. The Privacy Foundation is also an active participant in Privacy International, the world-wide privacy protection network.

The APF is open to membership by individuals and organisations who support the APF’s Objects. Funding that is provided by members and donors is used to run the Foundation and to support its activities including research, campaigns and awards events.

The APF does not claim any right to formally represent the public as a whole, nor to formally represent any particular population segment, and it accordingly makes no public declarations about its membership-base. The APF’s contributions to policy are based on the expertise of the members of its Board, SubCommittees and Reference Groups, and its impact reflects the quality of the evidence, analysis and arguments that its contributions contain.

The APF’s Board, Committees and Reference Groups comprise professionals who bring to their work deep experience in privacy, information technology and the law.

The Board is supported by Patrons The Hon Michael Kirby and Elizabeth Evatt, and an Advisory Panel of eminent citizens, including former judges, former Ministers of the Crown, and a former Prime Minister.

The following pages provide access to information about the APF:

- Current Board Members https://privacy.org.au/about/contacts/
- Patron and Advisory Panel https://privacy.org.au/about/contacts/advisorypanel/

The following pages provide outlines of several campaigns the APF has conducted:

Information Security

APF Policy Statement on Information Security

Organisations hold a great deal of personal data. All of it is at least to some degree sensitive, and some of it highly so. Inappropriate handling of personal data represents a threat variously to the safety, wellbeing and peace of mind of the people it relates to. Primary privacy concerns are in the areas of unauthorised use and disclosure of data, with other issues including loss of data and threats to data integrity. Personal data needs the same level of care as financial information.

The privacy interest shares a great deal of common ground with organisations’ own needs for protection of data of financial and competitive value, with commercial confidentiality, and with government and national sovereignty desires for the protection of sensitive data.

Information and Information Technology Security are well-established fields of professional endeavour, supported by a substantial array of products and services and a busy industry.

Organisations have moral and legal obligations to apply the available knowledge and to thereby ensure privacy protection. This applies to:

- all government agencies at federal, State and Territory, and local levels
- large and medium-sized business enterprises and not-for-profit organisations
- small business enterprises and not-for-profit organisations that handle personal data
- service-providers, including to small organisations and consumers, where the services provided involve personal data that is under the control of the service-provider’s customer (particularly personal health records and credit-card data, but also, for example, records of goods and services purchased, social media, dating services and business-contact lists)
The following, specific obligations exist, must be recognised by organisations throughout the public and private sectors, and must be enforced by regulatory agencies.

Security Governance

All organisations have obligations to:

- conduct Information Security Risk Assessment (SRA), which identifies and evaluates threats, vulnerabilities and potential harm, including a focus on risks to the privacy of individuals whose data the organisation handles
- establish an Information Security Risk Management Plan (SRMP), which specifies the information security safeguards that are to be established and maintained, including safeguards against risks to the privacy of individuals whose data the organisation handles
- establish and maintain business processes to ensure the implementation, maintenance, review and audit of those information security safeguards

Resources to guide and support these activities include:


Security Safeguards

All organisations have obligations to establish and maintain a sufficiently comprehensive set of information security safeguards in the following areas, commensurate with the sensitivity of the data:

- Physical Access Controls, such as locks, and authorisation processes for entry to premises
- Logical Access Controls, such as user account management, privilege assignment, and user authentication
- Data Protection in Transit, such as channel encryption and authentication of devices
- Data Protection in Storage, such as access logs, backup and recovery procedures, and encryption
- Perimeter Security, such as firewalls, malware detection, and intrusion detection
- Internal Security, such as vulnerability testing, patch management, software whitelisting, malware detection, and automated detection of security incidents
- Software Security, such as pre-release testing, change control and configuration management
- Organisational Measures, such as staff training, staff supervision, separation of duties, security incident management, log monitoring and audits
- Legal Measures, such as terms of use for employees, and terms of contract for suppliers
- Data Breach Notification Processes
- Formal Audit of data protection measures

Resources to guide and support the design and implementation of effective safeguards include:


Sanctions

All organisations, and individuals within organisations, must be subject to sanctions where they fail to fulfil their information security obligations.

Sanctions must exist, and must be applied, at all of the following levels:
- civil liability by organisations
- civil liability by directors
- staff disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal in serious cases
- criminal liability for serious and repeated cases

CONTACT APF

APF's Board and Committee-members are available to assist the media with backgrounders on specific privacy issues, and with public comment.
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